## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

March 21, 2024

7:00 p.m. - 7:33 p.m.

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Michael Fleming, Chairman
Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman
Chris Beloff, Member
Michelle Piccolo Hill, Member
Benito Martinez, Member
Thomas Walsh, Member

## ABSENT:

Frank Franco, Member

1	March 21, 2024
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: I'd like to call
4	to order the March 21, 2024 meeting of the Town
5	of Cortlandt Zoning Board of Appeals.
6	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Pledge of allegiance.
7	MR. FLEMING: Hang on one second. Yeah,
8	all right. First I'd like to start and invite
9	anybody who wishes to join me, we're going to say
10	the pledge of allegiance.
11	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
12	flag of the United States of America and to the
13	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
14	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
15	all.
16	MR. FLEMING: All right, roll call?
17	MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: Ms. Piccolo
18	Hill?
19	MS. MICHELLE PICCOLO HILL: Here.
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Martinez?
21	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Fleming?
23	MR. FLEMING: Here.
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Chin?

	Page 4
1	March 21, 2024
2	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Here.
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Walsh?
4	MR. THOMAS WALSH: Here.
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Beloff?
6	MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And Mr. Franco is noted
8	as absent.
9	MR. FLEMING: Thank you. Has everyone
10	had, has everyone had the opportunity to review
11	the minutes from the February 15th meeting?
12	MR. CHIN: I, I did and I approved the
13	minutes of meeting of February.
14	MR. FLEMING: Make a motion to
15	MR. CHIN: I make a motion to approve
16	the minutes, oh, I'm making the motion.
17	MR. FLEMING: You're making the motion.
18	Second?
19	MR. MARTINEZ: Second.
20	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? No. Meetings
23	are approved, so the February 15, 2024 meetings
24	are approved as written. Today on the agenda we

1	March 21, 2024
2	have two adjourned public hearings. The first is
3	case number 2023-17. So I think that's yours?
4	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yes. And that's the
5	application of Parth Patel for an interpretation
6	of the zoning ordinance appealing the denial of a
7	building permit for a new one-family residence
8	for the property located at 41 East Hill Road.
9	MR. FLEMING: Does the applicant have
10	anything to share to start with?
11	MR. CLIFFORD DAVIS: Yeah, I'll be
12	brief.
13	MR. FLEMING: Please.
14	MR. DAVIS: Please be brief you mean?
15	MR. FLEMING: Please be brief, but also
16	please come to the podium and
17	MR. DAVIS: Okay, good evening. My name
18	is Clifford Davis. I represent the applicant, Mr.
19	Patel. There's not much.
20	MR. FLEMING: Can you pull the mic down
21	towards you?
22	MR. CHIN: Yeah, towards you.
23	MR. DAVIS: Is that better?
24	MR. FLEMING: It doesn't pick up a lot

2.3

2 if you don't, so sorry about that.

MR. DAVIS: Is that working better?

MR. FLEMING: That is.

MR. CHIN: Yeah, right.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Very good. So the legal -- the house that Mr. Patel and his wife live in is lots 10 and 11 and what we're asking this board to do with reference to the denial letter is make a determination that lots 25 and 26 did not merge with lots 10 and 11 when the house was built in 1996.

And the legal issue that is before this board is an interpretation of section 307-8 of the code and that essentially is what is the lot depth if you took all of the four lots and you considered them together. When you look at the lot depth, it adds up to 98.78 feet while the required lot depth is 150 feet. So pursuant to this town's code, it did not merge.

The board was concerned that somehow my client received some benefits from lots 25 and 26 when he built his house on lots 10 and 11. We submitted an expert Cronin engineering report,

2.3

which showed that that was not the case. The house could have been built to 3,290 square feet with or without lots 25 and 26 and the house is smaller than that. The board then essentially called a timeout and said let's go back and look at the records. I believe there, it was between 50 and 55 pages of records and nothing in there indicated that the lots had merged, that you had got gotten those documents from the building inspector.

So we believe we have addressed all of the board's concerns. It's a legal issue before this board. If the board grants our application, all that means is that my client would then make an application administratively through the town to build his single family house. So we ask that there be a determination that lots -- so any new house that would be built would be on lots 25 and 26, so there should be no confusion that we're seeking two houses. And what we're asking the board to do is make a determination that lots 25 and 26 didn't merge with lots 10 and 11. So if you have any questions.

March 2	21,	2024
---------	-----	------

2.3

MR. FLEMING: I just want to make sure and that's, that's the, the specific finding you're asking for, that lots 25 and 26 did not merge pursuant to the town code with lots 10 and 11.

MR. DAVIS: That's correct, with lots 10 and 11. And that if we receive your approval, there's only going to be one house and that's going to be on lots 25 and 26.

MR. FLEMING: Understood.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you. Before the applicant sit down, does anyone have any questions for the applicant?

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Nope.

MR. FLEMING: All right. I think we should open it up for public hearing.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yeah.

MR. FLEMING: All right, so any members of the public who wish to speak on this, please come forward. Just the same information I gave the applicant, can you just make sure the microphone is pointed towards your mouth and then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

March 21, 2024

I ask you to give us your name and your address before you give us any information you want to give us.

MR. NICHOLAS MALGERI: Okay. My name is Nicholas Maucieri. I'm here with my wife Karen Maucieri and we live at 29 East Hill Road, Cortlandt Manor, New York.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you.

MR. MAUCIERI: In 1988 we were interested in buying a house in Cortlandt Manor. We found Country Woods Development. It was all woods, not even a road was there at the time. We were able to pick the style house we wanted and the lot that we, it would be built on. That's where our house is right now on that particular lot, next to the piece of land that's in question. So we chose that lot because the salesperson explained to us that there will never be a house built next to our house on the left hand side of our house. There will always be a buffer of property due to the fact that the house will be built on top, not to the side, behind our house, and the property next to us will be their

2.3

yard. He also stated that when the property was subdivided for development, Country Woods needed to meet the required square footage to build on, which I believe was the minimum of a half-acre as per town of Cortlandt zoning code laws.

So I did not take the salesperson's word for it. I went to the Cortlandt Town Hall, which was in Croton at the time, we're talking 35 years ago. And, I don't recall who I spoke to, but they confirmed what the salesperson was telling me.

So lots 10 and 11 did benefit from lots 25 and 26 to meet the requirements for the town of Cortlandt. In Mr. Patel's letter, he states that the lots do not touch each other. They do. Lots 10 and 25 are back to back.

The property was advertised for sale when Mr. Patel purchased the property, and in the ad for the property said it had 25 square foot house on 0.70 acres, which is just less than three-quarters of an acre. So to me, that means that the entire property was what was sold. So Phoenix Construction built seven houses on East Hill Road and was required by the town of

2.3

Cortlandt to meet the minimum square footage of property to be built. Phoenix Construction purchased the property from his father-in-law, Mr. Cronin, who owned Cronin Engineering, and Mr. Monsell, who is the owner of Phoenix Construction, told me that he needed to build on three sections, three lots from the old map.

subdivision is approved, it supersedes the map of 1980 -- 1907. To refer to the map of 1907 is a moot point unless the property was grandfathered, in as the case with Mr. Cozzi. Referring to Mr. Cozzi in any case or any other properties grandfathered in is a moot point. It doesn't pertain to the case because of the subdivision.

As far as the dumping ground stated by Mr. Davis, yes, there are lawn grass clippings, which are probably mine. My landscaper, we have a decorative grass along the property, my landscaper cut the grass, dropped it there. I mean it's biodegradable and it happens to be the same landscaper as Mr. Patel. And if Mr. Patel had an issue with that, I wish he had come to me

March 21, 2024

and, you know, stated that.

-

2.3

So in the records here of the Cortlandt
Manor Town Hall here, there's a property record
card. So here it shows Mr. Patel who purchased,
who purchased the house from Mr. Mocker, who
purchased the house from LPL Custom Builders
Inc., who purchased the house from Country Woods
at Cortlandt Associates. So you have four
different purchases and there was never a
questioning whether those lots were part of the
purchase or not. So it is, it is part of -- part,

you know, the whole, the whole lot. It's part,

it's part of all one and the same.

So, you know, referring to Mr. Patel's house that was built by LPL Builders, the square footage of the house that was built, as Mr. Davis had said, 25 -- 2,758 square feet. The house was built by LPL builders to a size that would be most profitable for them at the time. Just because it didn't meet the 3,290 square feet shouldn't be an issue. So it really should have no bearing on any of your decisions in my opinion.

2.3

There are a number of houses that have front of the house and the back of the house on East Hill Road, like Mr. Davis is talking about.

12 East Hill Road, 47 East Hill Road and some of the properties go from East Hill Road to

Lockwood. And there's also one property at the cul-de-sac that goes to Stonefield Court. So to say that the front and back is on East Hill Road, again, I don't believe that should be an issue.

I just want to thank you for your time

I just want to thank you for your time and I think if you decide to allow this, I think you're setting a terrible precedent for not only Country Woods, East Hill Road, but the rest of Cortlandt Manor. Thank you for your time.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Thank you.

MR. FLEMING: Any other members of the public? I'm sorry, if any other members of the public want to be heard, please step forward.

Same instruction. If you can just state your name and address and make sure that mic is by your face there.

MR. ROBERT GROSS: Sure. That, that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

should be fine. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name is Robert Gross and I reside at 25 East Hill Road, which is adjacent to Lot 26 along with my wife and family. And we've been there since December, 2022. Contrary to the, to the petition that 1907 map submitted in support of the application does not indicate to the community that that it was mapped in such a way that there would be a separate house on lots 25 and 26, as what was said by Nicholas. That is a four-lot house. That's how it was designed. It's been that way for, and now I found that out, this is now, he's now the fourth owner of that house. And that's just how that, that house, that particular house is. And the builder intentionally determined that 41 East Hill Road was to include lots 10, 11, 25 and 26; 26 is right adjacent to my house. And no documentation has been submitted to the contrary.

The real, the salient issue here is the intent. What was the intent of that builder when they did this? And the town code section 307-8, subdivision C that the town that the town code

2.3

has here is that's what you have to look at. It's the intent here of that actual builder. Because at that time, if they didn't want to have these other lots part of it, they wouldn't have had that. And the issue also is, is that the, that it's common sense is that these two particular lots, you know, they, here 25 and 26 even combined with 10 and 11 and it's the 98.7 feet or it's seven, eight feet, it's not big enough. It's, it's going to be a smaller house that's going to be put adjacent to two houses, Nick and Karen's house and my house. It's not going to be at all along with the character of that neighborhood.

And the other issue is this is a 30 some odd year development, where you have mature landscaping. It's all set. This is not like all these homes are going in at the same time. This is now they would, if you were to go up there, you'd see that there is a, a lot, it's got mature trees there, you know, wildlife goes through there. It's a nice area. And to rip it all down and to stick a small house that's not in --

1

March 21, 2024

2

that's not consistent with the neighborhood would

3

not be proper.

4

What's also interesting as noted by

5

Martin Rogers, director of code enforcement, the

6

building permit and the certificate occupancy

7

were for a one-family residence for 10, 11 and 25

8

and 26. And it's been vacant now for the past,

9

you know, 30, almost 40 years now. And it would

10

totally destroy the whole area of that of East

11

Hill Road to have that ripped out. And as far as

12

the Cozzi house, if we're going bring that up, I

13

encourage the board to go up there and look at

14 15

that house looks like right now, there's a house

it, because if you want to see what the front of

16

that's up, there's a, there's a backhoe on a pile

17

of rocks. It's all ripped up and they still

18

haven't finished it. It would be a total eyesore

19

from the whole front of East Hill Road. You know,

20 21 right now there's a home for sale up there. I can only imagine people coming up and seeing another

22

backhoe, you know, propped up on rocks and say

2.3

what kind of place is this? It's not at all in

24

the character of the neighborhood. And, you know,

2.3

March 21, 2024

and we would implore you as residents, you'll hear from more people to deny the application. Thank you for your consideration.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you. Any other members of the public wish to step forward, please do so.

MR. CHIN: Anybody on Zoom?

MR. FLEMING: Alright. Is there anybody on Zoom who wishes to participate? You could raise your hand. Nope. Okay, so --

MR. DAVIS: If I can just one point.

MR. FLEMING: You're welcome to do so.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you Mr.

Chairman. The board has to stay on point, which is the legal issue, and that is 307-8C. It's not an intent, it's a simple mathematical, it's looking at the 1907 map. And, you know, the applicant has to go, has to meet all the setback requirements and all that other stuff, but he doesn't have to meet the issue of property acreage, just like every house there is, is under the requirement. So I, I, you know, I just don't want the board to get sidetracked by hearsay

1	March 21, 2024
2	statements about what a salesperson said, what
3	somebody said, but they can't remember the
4	person's name where there's not documentation.
5	The code says what this board has to analyze. I
6	think it was vetted by Mr. Kehoe and Mr.
7	Cunningham and, and by this board. Thank you.
8	MR. FLEMING: Yeah, absolutely. I'll
9	allow you to please come forward.
10	MR. MAUCIERI: I'd like to correct Mr.
11	Davis. Yes, I did speak to the salesperson, but I
12	didn't take his word for it.
13	MR. FLEMING: I appreciate we don't
14	like that people start
15	MR. MAUCIERI: I'm sorry.
16	MR. FLEMING: Any of your comments,
17	you're welcome to direct them towards me and, and
18	
19	MR. MAUCIERI: I apologize.
20	MR. FLEMING: to your benefit, I, I
21	listen to every all of us listen to every
22	single person's comments and we decide what the
23	issues are and what we should focus on.
24	MR. MAUCIERI: Okay.

2.3

MR. FLEMING: It doesn't make a difference if you, or if an applicant's attorney or architect says it. We listen to everybody and we take everything you say.

MR. MAUCIERI: So my rebuttal is not necessary.

MR. FLEMING: You, you're absolutely, you're welcome to give any, any further statement you want to give. I just want to let you know that, you know, we, we, we don't --

MR. MAUCIERI: Yeah.

MR. FLEMING: We listened to everything, I just wanted to say.

MR. MAUCIERI: I just want to clear the fact up that yes, I did speak to the salesperson, but I went to the town hall, which was in Croton at the time, and I got the word from the, I'm not sure if it was the planning department or the zoning department, but they, they confirmed that.

MR. FLEMING: I appreciate it.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Can you actually just, I have a question. So can you clarify for me, you said something about, a subdivision

1 March 21, 2024 2 supersedes the 1907 map? 3 MR. MAUCIERI: That's correct. 4 MS. PICCOLO HILL: So the town said that 5 to you? So can you just clarify a little more about what that, what happened with that 6 7 conversation? MR. MAUCIERI: So, my research with a 8 number of builders I do work for and their 9 10 lawyers. And they told me that when there's a 11 approved subdivision, any map that is existing is 12 superseded by the subdivision. So going back to 13 the map of 1907 doesn't make any sense because 14 it's superseded by the approved subdivision of 15 Cortlandt Manor. MS. PICCOLO HILL: Thank you. Is there, 16 17 I mean --18 MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: So I'm not, I 19 mean I'm, I'm sure you were told that. I, I don't 20 know specifically, and, and I don't know if there 21 was necessarily a further subdivision map. I 22 think it was just the original map and then the 2.3 builders built on the parcels based on the

24

original map.

2.3

MR. MAUCIERI: That was Country Woods,

Cortlandt Associates, that was the subdivision

for Country Woods, which is East Hill Road, Perry

Street.

MR. FLEMING: Yeah, but I think what Mr. Cunningham is saying they didn't actually form a new neighborhood plat, for lack of a better word when the houses were built, they'd literally built on lots one and two and lots three and four and lots five and six, again, for example, not specifying those lot numbers.

MR. MAUCIERI: Right.

MR. FLEMING: So the 1907 map certainly was, you know, relevant to see what this, you know, building looked like when it was originally honestly designed to have homes put there at all. Before, before that it was, it was nothing. They didn't all get built. And I understand that 1907 development didn't happen as it was intended. But what, what I think we're saying is I'm not aware and I haven't seen anything that there was a second --

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Map.

	Page 2
1	March 21, 2024
2	MR. FLEMING: development map
3	MR. MAUCIERI: Okay.
4	MR. FLEMING: created when the
5	developing did actually start.
6	MR. MAUCIERI: Okay.
7	MR. FLEMING: Many, many years later.
8	But it did
9	MR. MAUCIERI: Well we, we did see a map
10	and that could have been a sales map from Country
11	Woods, but it showed the different lots and the
12	lot that we purchased next to Mr. Patel's lot,
13	showed it as one lot. And, again, I did go and
14	confirm that I confirmed that with the town 35
15	years ago. And each house that's built there
16	that's not grandfathered in is at, on a minimum
17	of three lots from 1907.
18	MR. FLEMING: Okay.
19	MR. MAUCIERI: Thank you.
20	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
21	MS. PICCOLO HILL: And the town has no
22	new subdivision map?
23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Not that I'm aware of.
24	Something that the board, because we're getting

2.3

to that stage obviously, where the boards can determine, you know, whether or not it's going to close the public hearing and it seems like verbal comments done, what you could always do --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Excuse me, could you please speak up? We can't hear. Okay.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure. So what, what I was saying is we're getting to the point now where it looks like the town -- because all the verbal comment has, it seems to have been placed before the board. So what the board will probably consider doing is closing the verbal part of the public hearing. They could consider keeping a written comment period open and it would allow residents to further written comments. But, you know, it's up, it's in the board's discretion.

MR. FLEMING: All right. With, with that being said, is there any further public comment?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah, I do.

MR. FLEMING: Please come forward. Just,

Just state your name and address and make sure

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

2.3

you pull that mic down to you so we could hear
You.

MS. BERNICE KRANZ: Hi, Bernice Kranz, 26 East Hill Road.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you.

MS. KRANZ: Cortlandt Manor. I'm not an attorney, but I do live in a really nice house in a really lovely neighborhood. And I'm concerned that these houses, which in my opinion, they don't belong there, they don't fit in with the other homes. And as a widow, I'm concerned that they will lower the aesthetic beauty of the development, my property and I'm paying taxes. And if these houses are going to bring down the desirability of the development then I think I ought to get a tax break. I do. That, well, you wanted me to express how I feel.

MR. FLEMING: You're welcome to it.

MS. KRANZ: That's how I feel. I feel that these houses do not belong. They don't fit in and that they would lower the desirability of people maybe looking to purchase my house. That's it. Thank you very much.

1	Page 25 March 21, 2024
2	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
3	MR. CHIN: What's her address?
4	MR. FLEMING: She did tell us, but sure.
5	She, she did tell us.
6	MR. CHIN: Oh, she did?
7	MR. FLEMING: Yeah. Ma'am, I'm sorry.
8	Some of the board members didn't hear it. Could
9	you just tell me your address real quick so I can
10	make sure it's on the record? You can tell me
11	from there. I'll just repeat it.
12	MS. KRANZ: 26 East Hill Road.
13	MR. FLEMING: 26 East Hill Road. Thank
14	you ma'am.
15	MS. KRANZ: Okay.
16	MR. FLEMING: It's 26 East.
17	MR. CHIN: How could that be?
18	MR. FLEMING: All right, anybody else?
19	No. Okay. So let's have a motion to close the
20	public hearing.
21	MS. PICCOLO HILL: I motion to close the
22	public hearing.
23	MR. MARTINEZ: I second it.
24	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?

1	March 21, 2024
2	MULTIPLE: Aye.
3	MR. FLEMING: All right, public hearing
4	is now closed. I'll take a thumb vote. Do you
5	want to vote or do you want to leave
6	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Well
7	MR. FLEMING: one at a time, the
8	question is, do you want to take a vote right now
9	or do you want to adjourn this to have written
10	comment and then we'll take a vote without
11	further public hearing at the next meeting?
12	MS. PICCOLO HILL: I personally would
13	like to hear written public comment.
14	MR. FLEMING: Okay, that's fine.
15	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Just because that I
16	just want to make sure there's no subdivision
17	map.
18	MR. FLEMING: Okay, that's fine.
19	MR. WALSH: Yeah, I agree.
20	MR. FLEMING: Benito, do you have an
21	opinion on it?
22	MR. MARTINEZ: I, I agree.
23	MR. CHIN: Adjourn.
24	MR. FLEMING: So the question is, do you

1	March 21, 2024
2	want to adjourn this or do you want to hold the
3	vote right now?
4	MR. CHIN: Well, let's close the public
5	hearing, but what
6	MR. FLEMING: Again, it's vote right now
7	or do you want to adjourn this to allow for
8	written comment?
9	MR. CHIN: I'd rather have the written
10	comments
11	MR. FLEMING: Okay.
12	MR. CHIN: so we got to get it right.
13	MR. FLEMING: Tom?
14	MR. WALSH: Adjourn and I would like to
15	know about the subdivision matter, so
16	MR. BELOFF: And I agree.
17	MR. FLEMING: All right, so what we're
18	going to do is we're going to the public
19	hearing is closed, so we won't take any more
20	public comments. We will allow written comments
21	for the next 21 days. I think our next hearing is
22	set for April, sorry
23	MR. WALSH: 18th.
24	MR. FLEMING: April 18th. So we'll

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

have all public, all, all comments will have to be in by the latest of April 11th, a week before that hearing so we'll have an opportunity to review them. Anyone has any additional information, if you, you know, for example you do have a map that you want to show us, we'll, we're absolutely happy to look at any other information. Applicant, I'm sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back for the April 18th hearing. We won't have public comment. What we'll do is at that point vote based on the written information that we receive. You also are invited to provide any further written information you you'd like to provide before we vote for our consideration as well. Alright, thank you. And that closes that closes this matter.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: And please submit all written comments to Chris Kehoe, who's our town planner.

MR. FLEMING: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, submit written comments to Chris Kehoe and if you, if you don't have his email address --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's on the website

1	March 21, 2024
2	too.
3	MR. FLEMING: Okay. And, and you're
4	welcome to submit any attachments or other
5	documents that you want, in addition to your
6	Comments. And if you can't find it on the
7	website, just call Town Hall and they will make
8	sure they get you in contact with Mr. Kehoe.
9	MR. CHIN: Yeah, as long as it's by
10	April 11th.
11	MR. FLEMING: April 11th, yeah, thank
12	you
13	MR. CHIN: At the latest.
14	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
15	[OFF-MIC CONVERSATION]
16	MR. FLEMING: Well, this is
17	specifically, we're not going to vote until we
18	get the public comments in written form, so
19	that's fine.
20	[OFF-MIC CONVERSATION]
21	MR. FLEMING: I do understand. All
22	right. I moved off my agenda, so give me one
23	second to move back to it. All right.
24	The next case is adjourned from our prior
J.	

1	March 21, 2024
2	hearing. This is case number 2024-2, application
3	of Beth Cofini and this is, I'm sorry, Benito's
4	case?
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
6	MR. FLEMING: Mr. Martinez, go ahead.
7	MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, we
8	have the case number 2024-2 in which they're
9	requesting a, a variance for to have five dogs
10	with the town only permitted to have three. This
11	is and that's for the address actually, I'm
12	sorry, 23 Willow Place, Ms. Beth Cofini. Ms.
13	Cofini.
14	MR. FLEMING: Ms. Cofini, if you want to
15	come forward as the applicant, if you have
16	anything to say.
17	MS. BETH COFINI: Yes.
18	MR. FLEMING: Same thing as in the prior
19	hearing too, just state your name and your
20	address for the record.
21	MS. COFINI: Yep. Beth Cofini, 23 Willow
22	Place, Lake Peekskill, New York.
23	MR. JOHN TENAGLIA: John Tenaglia, 23
24	Willow Place, Lake Peekskill, New York.

2.3

MR. FLEMING: Thank you.

MR. MARTINEZ: You can --

MR. FLEMING: If you have anything you want to start with, you have a statement, anything you want to say, you're welcome to.

MS. COFINI: I, I think we, we sent two suggestions. I don't, I don't know what to tell you. I guess I'm here to find out what the fate is of my five dogs and what your plan is for them.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. So with that in mind, we had a meeting before this. There is a public meeting prior to this hearing at 6:30. We talked about the case. And what the board is prepared to do is to vote on granting your variance conditioned upon three conditions. The first condition is the construction of a fence fully enclosing the yard that the dogs will be allowed to go into.

MS. COFINI: Mm-hmm.

MR. FLEMING: So, there's a condition on that. Once we grant the variance, the variance is for the existing five dogs. These five dogs must

2.3

get licensed. And the variance for five dogs, again is limited to the five dogs that you'll have to license as part of this condition. It's not a variance to have five dogs. It's a variance for you to have these five dogs.

MS. COFINI: Understood.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  FLEMING: Was there another one I'm missing?

MR. CHIN: Sixty days from the --

MR. FLEMING: And, and that the fence, to the extent possible, needs to be built within 60 days and if it can't be built within 60 days, you need to come back to us and ask us to give you an extension. For example, if you have a contract that says they can't build it until June 15th, come back to us with that and then we would extend it to June 15th. But, if you could do it within the next 60 days, you don't have to come back. Just, just do it within the next 60 days. All right. So that, that, that's what we're going to put before vote and I will ask --

MS. PICCOLO HILL: And did you touch base on where the fence has to go?

1 March 21, 2024 2 MR. FLEMING: It has to have fully enclose the yard that the dogs will be allowed 3 4 into. So if the dogs are going be allowed into 5 the yard, that entire yard has to be fully enclosed by the fence. 6 7 MR. MARTINEZ: And that was your intention to do the whole. 8 9 MS. COFINI: Okay. 10 MR. TENAGLIA: We're only going to do 11 the back. 12 MS. COFINI: I'm, I'm a little confused 13 because my neighbor on this side is completely 14 fenced in, so there's no way my dogs are going to 15 get onto her property. I also have a fence that 16 runs up along the side of the other people that 17 you contacted that had notified and had no 18 concerns about my dogs. 19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You just have to speak 20 in the microphone for the record. 21 MR. FLEMING: You have to speak in the 22 microphone. We can't hear you.

live on the, the woman who was here last time,

MS. COFINI: All right. The people that

2.3

24

2.3

excuse me, she's completely fenced in.

MR. CHIN: If you want, take the microphone, put it in, put it by you so you're speaking to it. Otherwise it's not being recorded, okay.

MS. COFINI: Sure. The woman to my left, facing my house, the one who complained, she is completely fenced in, in her backyard. There is no possible way for my dogs to get into her yard if I put the fence up where it comes from my house to her fence. And then on the other side, I come from my pool fence to my other fence that is a stockade.

MR. FLEMING: So again, you're responsible for building and maintaining a fence that fully encloses your yard. This isn't you allowed to build up to someone else's fence because they can choose to take down.

MS. COFINI: They're my fences.

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Your neighbor can take down her fence if she wants to. She doesn't have to. So what we're telling you is the condition we're placing on this. And again, you,

1	March 21, 2024
2	you could reject it and just comply without, you
3	know, and comply with the existing zoning law.
4	But if you want to, we're telling you if you want
5	to have five dogs, you have to have a fence that
6	fully encloses your yard that you will build and
7	maintain. Okay.
8	MS. COFINI: All I hear is a threat.
9	That's what I hear.
10	MR. MARTINEZ: It's not a threat.
11	MS. COFINI: It is a threat.
12	MR. MARTINEZ: It's not a threat.
13	MS. COFINI: It is a threat
14	MR. FLEMING: You know, we're done.
15	Stop.
16	MS. COFINI: because I told
17	MR. FLEMING: Stop. We're done.
18	MS. COFINI: you
19	MR. FLEMING: That's it.
20	MR. CHIN: That's it.
21	MS. COFINI: I told you that I didn't
22	have the money to put up a fence.
23	MR. CHIN: Okay. That's it.
24	MS. COFINI: The part of the fence that

2.3

we're putting up \$7,000. Now you want me to enclose the entire yard. Do you have any idea what that's going to cost?

MR. FLEMING: I want you to enclose the portion of the yard that you want your dogs to be able to go into. If you want to make a 20 foot by 20 foot section for them, that's up to you. If you want to have five dogs and you want to have them be able to go outside your house, you're going to have to put a fence up that encloses the area they're allowed to go into. I'm not telling you to fence your entire property, that's up to you. This is what, this is the condition we're putting on your request to have a variance from the existing zoning that everyone else in the town has to comply with. Okay. So I'd like you to get a vote from the board on --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: So, we have the motion to close the public hearing.

MR. FLEMING: Oh, you're right. I am sorry. First, I need a motion to close the public hearing.

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion to close

Geneva Worldwide, Inc.

	Page 37
1	March 21, 2024
2	the public hearing.
3	MR. CHIN: Second.
4	MR. FLEMING: All in favor?
5	MULTIPLE: Aye.
6	MR. FLEMING: Against? Okay, the public
7	hearing is now closed. Now I need a motion to
8	approve a conditional variance conditioned on the
9	three conditions I mentioned, which is the
10	construction of a fence fully enclosing the yard
11	that the dogs will be allowed into within 60
12	days. That the, once the variance is granted, the
13	five dogs will be licensed and that the variance
14	is only is limited to those five licensed
15	dogs.
16	MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion for that
17	too.
18	MR. FLEMING: So moved. All right.
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: We'll need a second.
20	MR. FLEMING: Sorry. Mr. Cunningham, can
21	you pull the board?
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure. And we'll need,
23	we'll need a second on the motion.
24	MR. CHIN: Second.
	Π

	Do 22 20
1	Page 38 March 21, 2024
2	MR. FLEMING: Oh.
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. So, Ms.
4	Piccolo Hill?
5	MS. PICCOLO HILL: Approve.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Martinez?
7	MR. MARTINEZ: Approve.
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Chin?
9	MR. CHIN: Approve.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Walsh?
11	MR. WALSH: Approve.
12	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Beloff?
13	MR. BELOFF: Approve.
14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And Chairman Fleming.
15	MR. FLEMING: Approved.
16	MR. CHIN: Six to nothing.
17	MR. FLEMING: All right, so, a letter
18	will be sent. You'll be copied on it. A letter
19	will be sent to the town enforcement division
20	with which, which, which has that holding. After
21	that, it's up to you to comply with it.
22	MS. COFINI: Thank you.
23	MR. TENAGLIA: Have a good night.
24	MR. FLEMING: Thank you. Have a good

	De 212
1	Page 3 March 21, 2024
2	night.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Good night.
4	MR. FLEMING: And with that I need a
5	motion to close
6	MS. COFINI: Oh, Excuse me, just one
7	thing I'd like in writing, why you decided
8	against the collars, because now you're making me
9	spend 20,000 as opposed to 5,000.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That'll be in the
11	decision.
12	MS. COFINI: To me, that is a threat.
13	MR. CHIN: That will be in the decision
14	and order.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That'll be in the
16	decision and order as well.
17	MS. COFINI: And I will put it in
18	writing and I will send it to animal welfare.
19	MR. CHIN: Fine.
20	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
21	MS. COFINI: Make sure animal welfare
22	knows how we treat animals in Cortlandt Manor.
23	MR. CHIN: I make a motion to close the
24	hearing.

1	March 21, 2024
2	MR. WALSH: Second.
3	MR. FLEMING: Second, Tom Walsh
4	seconded. All favor of closing the hearing?
5	MULTIPLE: Aye.
6	MR. FLEMING: All right. Our next
7	hearing is 18th, the April 18th hearing. See
8	y'all then.
9	(The public board meeting concluded at
10	7:33 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on March 21, 2024 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: April 8, 2024

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003